arXiv:hep-ph/9406377v1 23 Jun 1994

IJS-TP-16-94
TUM-T31-63-94
June 1994

Role of Scalar Meson Resonances in $K_L^0 \to \pi^0\gamma\gamma$ Decay

S.Fajfer

Institut "Jožef Stefan", University of Ljubljana, 61111 Ljubljana, Slovenia
and
Physik Department, Technische Universität München
85748 Garching, FRG

ABSTRACT

Corrections to $K_L^0 \to \pi^0\gamma\gamma$ decay induced by scalar meson exchange are studied within chiral perturbation theory. In spite of bad knowledge of scalar-mesons parameters, the calculated branching ratio can be changed by a few percent.

1 Introduction

The $K \to \pi\gamma\gamma$ decays were subject of intensive theoretical studies during last few years [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8]. The experimentally measured branching ratio [9, 10] of $K_L^0 \to \pi^0\gamma\gamma$ is not so well theoretically explained as it seems from previous calculations. In this decay, $O(p^4)$ terms in the chiral Lagrangian give the leading order contribution of Chiral Perturbation Theory (CHPT), resulting in the branching ratio $Br \simeq 0.7 \times 10^{-6}$. The amplitude $K_L^0 \to \pi\gamma\gamma$ is finite at one loop level in CHPT.

The experimentally observed values are $(1.70 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-6}$ ($NA31$ result) [9] and $(1.86 \pm 0.60 \pm 0.60)) \times 10^{-6}$ (E731 result) [10]. At the same time, the observed invariant-mass distribution of the final photons is in good agreement with the theoretical predictions [1, 3, 4, 5, 8].

The vector meson exchange, resulting in the $O(p^6)$ contribution of CHPT, was studied by authors of [3, 6] and it was found that this contribution is very important. In addition to vector meson exchange present at next-to-leading order, $O(p^6)$ of CHPT, the two-pion intermediate state was taken into account [8, 11]. It was found that these corrections raise the rate by 20%. At the $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$ order in the chiral Lagrangian, both vector and scalar resonance exchange helps to explain $K \to \pi\pi\pi$ and $K \to \pi\pi$ amplitudes [17, 18, 19].

Motivated by this effect, we investigate a role of the scalar resonances in the $K_L^0 \to \pi^0\gamma\gamma$ decay. We notice, that scalar mesons also induce a contribution of the $\mathcal{O}(p^6)$ order in CHPT [15, 16, 19, 20]. Masses of $a_0(983)$ and $f_0(975)$ scalar mesons are close to the scale characterizing CHPT [13, 14] expansion

$\Lambda \simeq 1GeV$, and therefore they should be taken into account.

The outline of the work is the following: in Sect. 2 we derive $\mathcal{O}(p^6)$ effective Lagrangian for $K_L^0 \to \pi^0\gamma\gamma$ decay. In Sect. 3 we discuss and comment our results.

2 Scalar mesons and $\mathcal{O}(p^6)$ effective Lagrangians

There are many attempts to understand the nature of scalar mesons [21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26]. In the chiral Lagrangian we deal only with the quantum numbers of scalar mesons, and we apply the approach of refs. [13, 19, 20]. Very nice descriptions of CHPT up to $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$ can be found in ref. [12, 13, 14, 27]. We follow their notation. Here we describe only a part of the chiral Lagrangian, necessary for our purpose.

The kinetic term of the Lagrangian describing scalar mesons is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_k(S) = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}(\nabla_\mu S \nabla^\mu S - M_S^2 S^2) \tag{1}$$

where S is the scalar octet and M_S corresponds to the scalar masses in the chiral limit. For scalar singlet the kinetic term of the Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L}_k(S_1) = \frac{1}{2}(\partial^\mu S_1 \partial_\mu S_1 - M_{S_1}^2 S_1^2) \tag{2}$$

The scalar meson resonance $f_0(983)$ can be described as a linear combination of octet and singlet states of $SU(3)$, while $a_0(975)$ completely belongs to its octet.

It means that we treat a_0 and f_0 like ρ and ω vector mesons, $a_0(975)$ is identified with S_3 and

$$f_0(975) \ = \ \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}S_8 + \frac{2}{\sqrt{6}}S_1. \tag{3}$$

Their interactions with Goldstone pseudoscalars can be described writing the most general $SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R$ Lagrangian taking into account C and P properties of pseudoscalars and scalars [12, 13, 14]

$$\mathcal{L}_{SPP} \ = \ c_d \mathrm{Tr}(S u_\mu u^\mu) + c_m \mathrm{Tr}(S\chi_+) + \bar{c}_d S_1 \mathrm{Tr}(u_\mu u^\mu) + \bar{c}_m S_1 \mathrm{Tr}(\chi_+) \tag{4}$$

where

$$u_\mu = i u^\dagger D_\mu U u^\dagger \tag{5}$$

$$D_\mu U \ = \ \partial_\mu U + ie(\mathrm{A}_\mu U - U\mathrm{A}_\mu) \tag{6}$$

$$\chi_+ \ = \ u^\dagger \chi u^\dagger + u\chi^\dagger u \tag{7}$$

and $U = u^2$, is a unitary 3×3 matrix, with $u = exp(-\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{\Phi}{f})$, $\Phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^{8}\lambda_i\varphi^i$, $Tr(\lambda_i\lambda_j) = \delta_{ij}$, Φ is the matrix of the pseudoscalar fields, A_μ is the electromagnetic field and χ_+ is related to the quark mass matrix as in [12, 13].
The experimental values of the decay widths of f_0, a_0 are given in the Particle Data **92** [28].

$$\Gamma(f_0 \to \pi\pi) = 36\mathrm{MeV} \tag{8}$$

$$\Gamma(a_0 \to \eta\pi) = 59\mathrm{MeV} \tag{9}$$

Assuming that $M_S \simeq M_{S_1}$ and fitting the experimental data for decay widths (8), (9) we derive

$$c_d = \pm 0.022\mathrm{GeV}, \ \ c_m = \pm 0.029\mathrm{GeV} \tag{10}$$

and

$$\bar{c}_d = 0.019\text{GeV}, \;\; \bar{c}_m = -0.024\text{GeV} \tag{11}$$

or

$$\bar{c}_d = -0.019\text{GeV}, \;\; \bar{c}_m = 0.011\text{GeV} \tag{12}$$

These fits are obtained from simultaneous fit of l_i, $i = 1, 6$ defined in [13] and a_0, f_0 decay widths (11), (12), taking $\bar{c}_d$ positive (11), and negative (12). We take into account $\eta - \eta'$ mixing through their mixing angle θ. The results of our fit to l_i are slightly different from those obtained in ref. [13], where a_0 decay rate (9) and large N_c limit were used. These authors [3, 13] emphasized that usual nonet assumption for η, η' mesons which is widely used, in the lowest order Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_2$ is by no means unique. In our proceeding calculations wee shall also use their fit for $c_d, c_m, \bar{c}_m, \bar{c}_d$.

$$c_d = \pm 0.032\text{GeV}, \;\; c_m = \pm 0.042\text{GeV} \tag{13}$$

and

$$\bar{c}_d = \pm 0.019\text{GeV}, \;\; \bar{c}_m = \pm 0.024\text{GeV} \tag{14}$$

We support the idea of scalar meson dominance in the counterterms couplings, as well as in [13]. In Table 1, we present both sets of the parameters. In order to have two-photon-scalar couplings, we add to the Lagrangian two photon interaction with scalar mesons

$$\mathcal{L}_{S\gamma\gamma} \;\; = \;\; g e^2 Tr(Q^2 S) F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + g' e^2 S_1 F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \tag{15}$$

where $F_{\mu\nu}$ is the electromagenic field strenght tensor and Q is the quark charge matrix.

We determine the constants g and g' by fitting the exmerimental data [28]. We are however, awere that these fits should be taken with special caution.

$$\Gamma(f_0 \to 2\gamma) = 0.56 \times 10^{-6} GeV \tag{16}$$

$$\Gamma(a_0 \to 2\gamma) = 0.24 \times 10^{-6} GeV \tag{17}$$

We find following possible combinations for g and g'

$$(1)\ g = 0.07 GeV^{-1}\ \ g' = 0.03 GeV^{-1} \tag{18}$$

$$(2)\ g = 0.07 GeV^{-1}\ \ g' = -0.07 GeV^{-1} \tag{19}$$

$$(3)\ g = -0.07 GeV^{-1}\ \ g' = 0.07 GeV^{-1} \tag{20}$$

$$(4)\ g = -0.07 GeV^{-1}\ \ g' = -0.03 GeV^{-1} \tag{21}$$

Assuming nonet symmetry for scalar mesons [29] requires $c_m = \bar{c}_m = 0$. They use the measured cross section $\sigma(\gamma\gamma \to \pi^0\eta) \simeq 30nb$ at the $a_0(980)$ [30]. The Lagrangian (4), (15) can be used [13, 18] to construct effective Lagrangian describing two-pseudoscalar-two-photon couplings dominated by scalar meson exchange. Eliminating scalar mesons like we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^s_{PP\gamma\gamma} &= ge^2 \frac{1}{M_S^2} F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}[c_d Tr(Q^2 u^\alpha u_\alpha) - \frac{1}{3} c_d Tr(Q^2) Tr(u^\alpha u_\alpha) \\ &+ c_m Th(\chi_+ Q^2) - c_m \frac{1}{3} Tr(\chi_+) Tr(Q^2)] \\ &+ g' e^2 \frac{1}{M_S^2} F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}[\bar{c}_d Tr(u^\alpha u_\alpha) + \bar{c}_m Tr(\chi_+)] \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

The superscript ^{S} of $\mathcal{L}_{PP\gamma\gamma}$ is to show the presence of one strong vertex. Accompanying this Lagrangian by the lowest order weak Lagrangian [18] describing $K^0 \rightarrow \pi^0, \eta, \eta'$ transitions

$$\mathcal{L}_w = c_2 Tr(\lambda_6 u_\mu u^\mu) \tag{23}$$

we easily obtain the amplitude, in which π^0, η, η' are poles, as presented in fig. 1a. We take as in [3], $c_2/f^4 = 9 \cdot 10^{-6} GeV^{-2}$ and $f \simeq f_\pi = 0.933 GeV$. From the direct weak kaon transition to pion and scalar meson, it is possible to derive new contribution to $K^0 \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma\gamma$. In this contribution a scalar meson decays into 2γ.

There are two procedures in the literature used to determine effective weak Largangian: the "factorization model" [18] and the "weak deformation model" [1, 3, 4, 5, 18]. It seems that the "weak deformation model" has a realistic chance to describe rather lagre number of processes accounting higher-order weak Lagrangian. This model has obtained more confidence after successful application of the $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$ terms in $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\gamma^*$ decay [3], where weak counterterms satisfy scale independent relations. The weak Lagrangian containing vectors for $K^0 \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma\gamma$ was derived using this method [3]. In order to maintain a consistent calculation of the vector and scalar resonance exchange, we apply this procedure, too. We find knowing (22)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}^{w}_{PP\gamma\gamma} &= ge^2 \frac{c_2 c_d}{M_S^2 f^2} F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}[Tr(\lambda_6 u^\alpha u_\alpha Q^2) \\
&- \frac{4}{3} Tr(\lambda_6 u^\alpha u_\alpha) Tr(Q^2) + Tr(\lambda_6 Q^2 u^\alpha u_\alpha) + Tr(\lambda_6 u^\alpha Q^2 u_\alpha)] \\
&+ g' e^2 \frac{c_2 \bar{c}_d}{M_S^2 f^2} 4 F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}[Tr(\lambda_6 u^\alpha u_\alpha Q^2)]
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

where superscript ^{w} denotes the direct weak vertex (see fig. 1b.).

3 Effective scalar meson contribution to the decomposed $K_L^0 \to \pi^0\gamma\gamma$ amplitude

The general decomposition for $K^0 \to \pi^0\gamma\gamma$ amplitude is given by

$$\begin{aligned} M(K^0(k) \to \pi^0(p)\gamma(q_1)\gamma(q_2)) &= \epsilon_\mu(q_1)\epsilon_\nu(q_2)[\frac{A(y,z)}{m_K^2}(q_1^\nu q_2^\mu - q_1 \cdot q_2 g^{\mu\nu}) \\ +2\frac{B(y,z)}{m_K^4}(p \cdot q_1 p \cdot q_2 g^{\mu\nu} + q_1 \cdot q_2 p^\mu p^\nu &- p \cdot q_1 q_2^\mu p^\nu - p \cdot q_2 q_1^\nu p^\mu)] \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

with dimensionless invariant amplitudes A, B which are functions of the Dalitz variables

$$y = |k \cdot (q_1 - q_2)|/m_K^2 \tag{26}$$

$$z = (q_1 + q_2)^2/m_K^2 \tag{27}$$

Including loop effects at the order $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$, vector mesons [1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 11] and exchange of scalar mesons calculated in this approach, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \frac{c_2}{f^4}\frac{m_K^2\alpha}{\pi}[F(z/r_\pi^2)(1 - \frac{r_\pi^2}{2}) + F(z)(\frac{1+r_\pi^2}{z} - 1) \\ &+ (a_V + a_s^1)(3 - z + r_\pi^2) + a_s^0] \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

where

$$B = -2a_V\frac{c_2}{f^4}\frac{m_K^2\alpha}{\pi}, \;\; r_\pi = \frac{m_\pi}{m_K} \tag{29}$$

$$a_V = \frac{512\pi^2 h_V^2 m_K^2}{9m_V^2} \tag{30}$$

In [3] it was calculated that $a_V = -0.32$ without $\eta - \eta'$ mixing, and $a_V \simeq -0.19$ when this mixing was included. We find

$$a_s^1 = \frac{16\pi^2 m_K^2}{M_S^2}[\frac{2c_d g}{3} + \frac{2}{9}c_d g\beta(\theta)] \tag{31}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(\theta) &= [-\frac{m_K^2}{m_\eta^2 - m_K^2}(cos\theta + 2\sqrt{2}sin\theta)(cos\theta - \sqrt{2}sin\theta)) \\ &- \frac{m_K^2}{m_\eta'^2 - m_K^2}(sin\theta - 2\sqrt{2}cos\theta)(sin\theta + \sqrt{2}cos\theta)] \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

where we take as usual $\theta \simeq 20^o$. We define

$$a_s^0 = -2a_s^1 - \frac{16\pi^2 m_K^2}{M_S^2}[\frac{4}{9}c_m g(1 + \beta(\theta)) + 4g'\bar{c}_m] \tag{33}$$

The scalar meson exchange does not influence B invariant amplitude. An interesting implication of this result is that CP-conserving amplitude of $K_L^0 \to \pi^0 e^+ e^-|_{\gamma\gamma}$ decay, proceeding through $\gamma\gamma$ states [1, 2, 3, 31, 32], is not influenced by scalars.

As we have mentioned already, the choice of parameters describing scalar mesons is the most troublesome part of this work. We make all possible allowed combinations of the parameters $c_d, c_m, \bar{c}_d, \bar{c}_m, g$ and g' and we present the numerical results in Table 2, Table 3 and Table 4. Without vector and scalar mesons the branching ratio was found to be [1]

$$Br_0(K_L^0 \to \pi^0\gamma\gamma) = 6.67 \times 10^{-7} \tag{34}$$

When vector mesons and loops are included, the branching ratio is [3, 6]

$$Br(K_L^0 \to \pi^0\gamma\gamma) = 8.87 \times 10^{-7} \tag{35}$$

for $a_V = -0.32$, and for $a_V = -0.19$, when mixing with $\eta - \eta'$ was accounted is

$$Br(K_L^0 \to \pi^0\gamma\gamma) \;=\; 7.80 \times 10^{-7} \tag{36}$$

As it can be seen from Table 2, 3, 4, the largest contribution to the branching ratio is obtained for the following choice of parameters $g = \mp 0.07 GeV^{-1}$, $g' = \pm 0.07 GeV^{-1}$, $c_d = \mp 0.032 GeV$, $c_m = \mp 0.042 GeV$, $\bar{c}_d = \mp 0.019 GeV$, $\bar{c}_m = \mp 0.024 GeV$ giving $a_s^1 = -0.06$ and $a_s^0 = 0.14$, where either upper or lower signs are taken correspondingly. They give

$$Br(K_L^0 \to \pi^0\gamma\gamma) \;=\; 9.46 \times 10^{-7} \tag{37}$$

Taking values derived by [29] where $gc_d = g'\bar{c}_d \simeq \pm 0.16 \cdot 10^{-3}$, $a_V = -0.32$ we get $Br(K_L^0 \to \pi^0\gamma\gamma) = 8.83 \times 10^{-7}$ for + sign, while for − sign it appears according [29], $Br(K_L^0 \to \pi^0\gamma\gamma) = 8.92 \times 10^{-7}$. In the calculation [8], where the exchange of two charged pions was taken into account, the physical amplitude $K^0 \to \pi^0\pi^+\pi^-$ was used to compute the absorptive part of $K_L^0 \to \pi^0\gamma\gamma$ amplitude and then subtracted dispersion relations were applied to obtain the full amplitude. These corrections increase the branching ratio by about 20% in comparison with the leading order term $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$, created by pion and kaon loops. In our analysis we do not add these corrections, since it was found [17, 18, 19] that the amplitude $K^0 \to \pi^0\pi^+\pi^-$, at $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$ order is already explained by the resonance exchange.

It was pointed out [3], that there are many $\mathcal{O}(p^8)$ contributions related to vector mesons exchange, as well as some $\mathcal{O}(p^5)$ terms induced by $VP\gamma$ couplings which are not considered yet. We do not take these effects into account.

At $\mathcal{O}(p^6)$ order of the weak Lagrangian there are terms proportional to l_i^2, induced by $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$ part of the chiral Lagrangian, which could contribute, but their overall couplings are an order of magnitude smaller than vector and scalar meson exchange considered in the present paper.

Motiveted by CHPT study of [1], $NA31$ [9] has extracted the bound on a_V from the Dalitz plot distribution of the two photon $-0.32 < a_V < 0.19$. From our result it is obvious that a_s^1 can increase or decrease a_V depending on the choice of the parameters from20% to 30%. On the other hand, only a_V contributes to $B(y,z)$ invariant amplitude of $K_L^0 \to \pi^0\gamma\gamma$ decay. In Table 2, 3, 4 we present the possible combinations of the parameters $c_d, c_m, \bar{c}_d, \bar{c}_m, g, g'$ and their influence on Br.

We see from results presented in Table 2 and 3 that the model used for $\eta - \eta'$ mixing is important, since if the nonet assumption for η's is not used, the branching ratio is increased by 15%. In fig. 2, $\frac{1}{\Gamma}\frac{d\Gamma}{dz}$ is presented in the function of $\gamma\gamma$ invariant mass for $a_V = a_s^1 = a_s^0 = 0$, for $a_s^1 = a_s^0 = 0$ $a_V = 0.32$ and $a_V = 0.32$, $a_s^1 = -0.06$ and $a_s^0 = 0.14$.

Finally we can summarize:

(i) The corrections coming from scalar-meson exchange are rather small, but not negligible. They might increase the branching ratio up to 6.7%.

(ii) The corrections strongly depend on the parameters determined by the scalar meson data.

(iii) The CP-conserving $K_L^0 \to \pi^0 e^+ e^-|_{\gamma\gamma}$ decay rate is not influenced by scalar meson exchange.

(iv) The interference of vector and scalar mesons in the study of the A part of invariant amplitude in $K_L^0 \to \pi^0\gamma\gamma$ decay is not negligible.

(v) It seems that the large experimental $Br(K_L^0 \to \pi^0\gamma\gamma)$ can be theoretically explained when all possible contributions, coming from loops at $\mathcal{O}(p^4)$ order and the accumulation of the smaller effects of the $\mathcal{O}(p^6)$, or even $\mathcal{O}(p^8)$ order are taken into account, consistently.

Acknowledgements: The author thanks A.Buras and B.Bajc for useful discussions.

Figure Captions

Fig. 1. Scalar meson exchange diagram for $K_L^0 \to \pi^0\gamma\gamma$, with π, η, η' poles (a), and with direct weak transition (b).

Fig. 2. Normalized spectra in the $\gamma\gamma$ invariant mass $z = (q_1 + q_2)^2/m_K^2$ for $a_V = 0$ (L - dotted curve), $a_V = -0.32$, (V, L - dashed curve) and $a_s^1 = -0.6$, $a_s^0 = 0.14$ (S, V, L - full curve).

l_i	V	A	S	S_1	Total	Total[13]	$l_i^r(M_\rho)$
l_1	0.6	0	-0.09	0.19	0.7	0.6	0.7 ± 0.4
l_2	1.2	0	0	0	1.2	1.2	1.3 ± 0.7
l_3	-3.6	0	0.27	0	-3.33	-3.0	-4.4 ± 2.5
l_4	0	0	-0.22	$-0.48(-0.22)$	$-0.7(-0.44)$	0.0	0.3 ± 0.5
l_5	0	0	0.66	0	0.66	1.4	1.3 ± 0.5
l_6	0	0	-0.15	0.30(0.14)	$-0.15(-0.01)$	0.0	-0.2 ± 0.3
l_8	0	0	0.45	0	0	0.9	0.9 ± 0.3

Table 1: V, A, S, S_1 contributions to the coupling constants l_i^r, $i = 1,2,3,4,5,6,8$ (l_7 is explained by the higher pseudoscalar meson state) in units of 10^{-3} and compared with the values from [13]. The values are calculated for $c_d = \pm 0.022 GeV$, $c_m = \pm 0.022 GvV$, $\bar{c}_d = 0.19 GeV$ and $\bar{c}_m = -0.024 GeV$ while in the parentheses are values obtained for $\bar{c}_d = -0.19 GeV$ and $\bar{c}_m = 0.011 GeV$. In the last column there are l_i^r from [12], [13], at the scale set to be equal M_ρ.

References

[1] G. Ecker, A. Pich and E. de Rafael, Phys. Lett. **B 189** (1987) 363.

[2] A.Pich, CERN-preprint, CERN-TH.7114/93.

[3] G. Ecker, A. Pich and E. de Rafael, Phys. Lett. **B 237** (1990) 481.

[4] G. Ecker, A. Pich and E. de Rafael, Nucl. Phys. **B 303** (1988) 665.

[5] G. Ecker, A. Pich and E. de Rafael, Nucl. Phys. **B 291** (1987) 692.

[6] P. Heiliger and L.M. Sehgal, Achen preprint, PITHA 92/34.

[7] L. Cappiello and G.D.' Ambrosio, Nuovo Cimento **99A** (1988) 153.

[8] A. C. Cohen, G. Ecker and A. Pich, Phys. Lett. **B304** 347.

[9] Collab., G.D. Barr et al, Phys. Lett. **B242** (1990); **B284** (1992) 440.

[10] Collab., V. Papadimitriou et al., Phys. Rev. **D44** (1991) 573.

[11] L. Cappiello and G.D.' Ambrosio, and M. Miraglinolo, Phys. Lett. **B298** (1993) 423.

[12] J. Gasser and H.Leutwyler, Nucl. Phys. **B250**, 465 (1989).

[13] G. Ecker, J. Gasser, A. Pich and E.De Rafael, Nucl. Phys. **B321**, 311 (1989).

[14] G. Ecker, J. Gasser, H. Leutwyler, A. Pich and E.De Rafael, Phys. Lett. **B223**, 425 (1990).

[15] J. Kambor, J. Missimer and D. Wyler, Nucl. Phys. **B346**,17 (1990).

[16] J. Kambor, J. Missimer and D. Wyler, Phys. Lett. **B261**496 (1991).

[17] G. Isidori and A. Pugliese, Nucl. Phys. **B 385**, 437 (1993).

[18] G. Ecker, J. Kambor, and D. Wyler, Nucl. Phys. **B394**,101 (1993).

[19] S.Fajfer, Z. Phys. **C 61** (1994) 645.

[20] S.Fajfer, preprint CERN-TH 7096/93, TUM-53-93, to appear in Phys. ReV **D**.

[21] M. Genovese, E. Predazzi and D.B. Lichtenberg, IUHEP 248, DFTT 14/93, April 1993.

[22] F.E. Close, N. Isgur and S. Kumano, Nucl. Phys. **B389**, 513 (1993).

[23] R.L. Jaffe, Phys. Rev. D15 267,281 (1977); *ibid*, **D17** 1444 (1978).

[24] J. Weinstein and N. Isgur, Phys. Rev. Lett.**48** 659 (1982); *ibid*, Phys. Rev. **D41**, 2236 (1990).

[25] D. Morgan and M.R. Pennington, Phys. Rev. **D48** (1993) 1185.

[26] M. Svec, A.de Lesquen and L. van Rossum, Phys. Re. **D46** (1993) 949.

[27] J. Donoghue, E. Golowich, and B. Holstein, *Dynamics of the Standard Model* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1992), and references therein.

[28] Particle Data, K.Hikasa et al., Phys. Rev. **D45** S1 (1992).

[29] L1. Ametller, J. Bijnens, A. Bramon, F. Cornet, Phys. Lett. **B276** (1992) 185.

[30] D. Antreasyan et al., Phys. Rev. **D33** (1986) 1847; S.E. Baru et al., Z.Phys. **C48** (1990) 581; T. Oest et al. Z.Phys. **C47** (1990) 343.

[31] A.J.Buras et al, preprint MPI-Ph/94-11, TUM-T31-60/94.

[32] J.F. Donoghue, B.R. Holstein and G.Valencia, Phys. Rev **D35** (1987) 2769.

g	g'	c_d	c_m	$\bar{c}_d$	$\bar{c}_m$	$Br \cdot 10^7$	$\Delta Br[\%]$
0.07	0.03	0.022	0.029	0.019	−0.024	8.54	−3.7
0.07	0.03	−0.022	−0.029	0.019	−0.024	9.06	2.1
0.07	0.03	0.022	0.029	−0.019	0.011	8.65	−2.5
0.07	0.03	−0.022	−0.029	−0.019	0.011	9.18	3.5
0.07	−0.07	0.022	0.029	0.019	−0.024	8.80	−0.8
0.07	−0.07	−0.022	−0.029	0.019	−0.024	9.33	5.2
0.07	−0.07	0.022	0.029	−0.019	0.011	8.54	−3.7
0.07	−0.07	−0.022	−0.029	−0.019	0.011	9.05	2.0
−0.07	0.07	0.022	0.029	0.019	−0.024	8.95	0.1
−0.07	0.07	−0.022	−0.029	0.019	−0.024	8.44	−4.8
−0.07	0.07	0.022	0.029	−0.019	0.011	9.23	4.1
−0.07	0.07	−0.022	−0.029	−0.019	0.011	8.70	−0.8
−0.07	−0.03	0.022	0.029	0.019	−0.024	9.22	4.0
−0.07	−0.03	−0.022	−0.029	0.019	−0.024	8.70	−2.0
−0.07	−0.03	0.022	0.029	−0.019	0.011	9.10	2.6
−0.07	−0.03	−0.022	−0.029	−0.019	0.011	8.58	−3.3

Table 2: The branching ratio Br for $K_L^0 \to \pi^0\gamma\gamma$ decay. For this calculation all possible combinations of the parameters g, g', c_d, c_m, $\bar{c}_d$, $\bar{c}_m$ are used. The g, g' are in GeV^{-1} and c_d, c_m, $\bar{c}_d$, $\bar{c}_m$ are given in GeV. In the last column the relative contribution of the scalar meson exchange is presented in percent. $\Delta Br = (Br - Br_0)/Br_0$ with $Br_0 = 8.87 \times 10^{-7}$ denotes the contribution without scalar mesons; $a_V = -0.32$ was taken.

g	g'	c_d	c_m	$\bar{c}_d$	$\bar{c}_m$	$Br \cdot 10^7$	$\Delta Br[\%]$
0.07	0.03	0.022	0.029	0.019	−0.024	7.44	−4.6
0.07	0.03	−0.022	−0.029	0.019	−0.024	8.04	3.1
0.07	0.03	0.022	0.029	−0.019	0.011	7.53	−3.5
0.07	0.03	−0.022	−0.029	−0.019	0.011	8.15	4.5
0.07	−0.07	0.022	0.029	0.019	−0.024	7.65	−1.9
0.07	−0.07	−0.022	−0.029	0.019	−0.024	8.29	6.3
0.07	−0.07	0.022	0.029	−0.019	0.011	7.43	−4.7
0.07	−0.07	−0.022	−0.029	−0.019	0.011	8.04	3.1
−0.07	0.07	0.022	0.029	0.019	−0.024	7.94	1.8
−0.07	0.07	−0.022	−0.029	0.019	−0.024	7.35	−5.8
−0.07	0.07	0.022	0.029	−0.019	0.011	8.19	5.0
−0.07	0.07	−0.022	−0.029	−0.019	0.011	7.57	−3.0
−0.07	−0.03	0.022	0.029	0.019	−0.024	8.19	5.0
−0.07	−0.03	−0.022	−0.029	0.019	−0.024	7.57	−3.0
−0.07	−0.03	0.022	0.029	−0.019	0.011	8.08	3.4
−0.07	−0.03	−0.022	−0.029	−0.019	0.011	7.47	−4.2

Table 3: The branching ratio Br for $K_L^0 \to \pi^0\gamma\gamma$ decay. For this calculation all possible combinations of the parameters g, g', c_d, c_m, $\bar{c}_d$, $\bar{c}_m$ are used, as described in the paper. The g, g' are in GeV^{-1} and c_d, c_m, $\bar{c}_d$, $\bar{c}_m$ are given in GeV. In the last column the relative contribution of the scalar meson exchange is presented in percent. $\Delta Br = (Br - Br_0)/Br_0$ with $Br_0 = 7.80 \times 10^{-7}$ denotes the contribution without scalar mesons. $\eta - \eta'$ mixing was taken into account and $a_V = -0.19$ was used.

g	g'	c_d	c_m	$\bar{c}_d$	$\bar{c}_m$	$Br \cdot 10^7$	$\Delta Br[\%]$
0.07	0.03	0.032	0.042	0.019	0.024	9.18	3.5
0.07	0.03	−0.032	−0.042	0.019	0.024	8.43	−5.0
0.07	0.03	−0.032	−0.029	−0.019	−0.024	8.58	3.2
0.07	0.03	0.032	0.042	−0.019	−0.024	9.35	5.4
0.07	−0.07	0.032	0.042	0.019	0.024	8.33	−6.1
0.07	−0.07	−0.032	−0.042	0.019	0.024	9.07	2.2
0.07	−0.07	−0.032	−0.042	−0.019	−0.024	9.46	6.7
0.07	−0.07	0.032	0.042	−0.019	−0.024	8.68	−2.1
−0.07	0.07	0.032	0.042	0.019	0.024	9.46	6.7
−0.07	0.07	−0.032	−0.042	0.019	0.024	8.68	−2.1
−0.07	0.07	−0.032	−0.042	−0.019	−0.024	8.33	−6.1
−0.07	0.07	0.032	0.042	−0.019	−0.024	9.07	2.2
−0.07	−0.03	0.032	0.042	0.019	0.024	8.58	−3.2
−0.07	−0.03	−0.032	−0.042	0.019	0.024	9.35	5.4
−0.07	−0.03	−0.032	−0.042	−0.019	−0.024	9.18	3.5
−0.07	−0.03	0.032	0.042	−0.019	−0.024	8.43	5.0

Table 4: The branching ratio Br for $K_L^0 \to \pi^0\gamma\gamma$ decay. For this calculation all possible combinations of the parameters g, g', c_d, c_m, $\bar{c}_d$, $\bar{c}_m$ are used. The g, g' are in GeV^{-1}, while c_d, c_m, $\bar{c}_d$, $\bar{c}_m$ are given in GeV and their values are taken from [13]. In the last column the relative contribution of the scalar meson exchange is presented in percent. $\Delta Br = (Br - Br_0)/Br_0$ with $Br_0 = 8.87 \times 10^{-7}$ denotes the contribution without scalar mesons; $a_V = -0.32$ was taken.

This figure "fig1-1.png" is available in "png" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/hep-ph/9406377v1

This figure "fig1-2.png" is available in "png" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/hep-ph/9406377v1